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An early investigation of the Australian plant Kreysigia multiflora Reichb. (family Liliaceae) revealed the presence of four alkaloids, ( $\pm$ )-kreysigine, (-)-floramultine, (+)floramultinine and (+)-kreysiginine ${ }^{(1)}$. Recent studies led to the complete elucidation of the structure of kreysigine and floramultine, which are both homo-aporphine alkaloids and may be assumed to be derived from a l-phenylethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline precursor ${ }^{(2)}$. Kreysiginine (I), the structure and absolute stereochemistry of which are now known from an X-ray crystallographic study of kreysiginine methiodide ${ }^{(3)}$, is of interest because it bears a relationship to the morphine alkaloids like that of kreysigine and floramultine to the aporphine alkaloids. Kreysiginine is clearly related to the liliaceous alkaloid androcymbine (II) ${ }^{(4)}$. The l-phenylethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative considered to be a precursor of kreysigine and multiflorine can also lead to kreysiginine through appropriate cyclization of an androcymbine-like intermediate.

The novel homo-morphine skeleton of kreysiginine (I) has been established independently from a detailed study by the double resonance technique of the $100 \mathrm{Mc} . / \mathrm{sec}$. $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{r}$. spectra of kreysiginine (Figure I) and 0-acetyl kreysiginine. The n.m.r. data, however, do not enable a distinction to be drawn between (I) and the isomer of opposite absolute configuration, and discussion is restricted to (I) which shows the correct absolute configuration ${ }^{(3)}$. N.m.r. data reported for the analogous morphine group bases isoneopine (III) and neopine (C-6 epimer of (III)) provide a useful basis for comparison (5).

The molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, originally assigned ${ }^{(\mathrm{I})}$ to kreysiginine is confirmed by mass spectrometry ( $M^{+}, m / e ~ 373$ ) and the n.m.r. spectrum shows the presence of three methoxyl groups ( $\delta 3.91,3.81$, and 3.53 ) and an N-methyl group ( $\delta 2.58$ ) as originally suggested. Acetylation of kreysiginine affords an 0-acetyl derivative, thereby confirming the presence of an alcohol group, and the fifth and unreactive oxygen atom can be presumed to be present
in an ether linkage. The sharp one-proton signal at $\delta 6.18$ is assigned to the aromatic proton at c-l, which, because it is situated on a highly oxygenated aromatic ring, undergoes rapid exchange in the presence of dilute DCl in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $60^{\circ}$. Under these conditions, the signal at $\delta 6.18$ disappears from the spectrum. Of the methoxyl signals, those at $\delta 3.91$ and $\delta 3.81$ are assigned to the groups at the C-2 and C-3 positions on the aromatic ring, and that at $\delta 3.53$ to the methoxyl group at $\mathrm{C}-6$.

The one-proton doublet at $\delta 4.64$ (J $9.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.$) is assigned to the C-5$ proton and this chemical shift is in agreement with that observed for the corresponding proton in the spectra of neopine ( $\delta 4.62$ ) and isoneopine $(\delta 4.54)^{(5)}$. Double irradiation at the resonance frequency of the C-5 proton (Figure I-B) collapses the quartet at $\delta 3.28$ to a doublet (J 4.0 c. $/ \mathrm{sec}$. ) while irradiation at the $\delta 3.28$ signal (Figure I-D) collapses the $\mathrm{C}-5$ doublet to a singlet and the quartet at $\delta 4.28$ to a doublet ( $J 6.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.). Irradiation at the $\delta 4.28$ quartet (Figure (I-C) collapses the $\delta 3.28$ quartet to a doublet (J $9.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.) and the doublet at $\delta 5.70$ to a singlet. Finally, irradiation at the $\delta 5.70$ doublet (Figure I-A) collapses the $\delta 4.28$ quartet to a doublet ( $\mathrm{J} 4.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.). These data are consistent with the proposed structure (I) for kreysiginine in which the quartet at $\delta 3.28$ is assigned to the $\mathrm{C}-6$ proton $\left(J_{5,6} 9.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec} . ; J_{6,7} 4.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}.\right)$, the quartet at $\delta 4.28$ to the $\mathrm{C}-7$ proton $\left(J_{6,7} 4.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}\right.$.; $\left.J_{7,8} 6.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}.\right)$, and the doublet at $\delta 5.70$ to the $\mathrm{C}-8$ proton ( $J_{7,8} 6.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.).

The large C-5, C-6 proton coupling ( $\mathrm{J} 9.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.) is consistent with a trans pseudo diaxial configuration for these protons (dihedral angle $170^{\circ}$ ), and establishes the relative configuration $\mathrm{C}-5-\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{C}-6-\mathrm{H} \beta$, as shown in (I). The relative configuration of the $\mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{C}-7$ protons can be similarly established by consideration of the coupling constant ( $J 4.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{scc}$. ) which is consistent with a dihedral angle of $45^{\circ}$ and indicates a cis axial-equatorial configuration with C-7-HB. The coupling ( $\mathrm{J} 6.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.; dihedral angle, $30^{\circ}$ ) between $\mathrm{C}-7-\mathrm{H} \beta$ and the allylic $\mathrm{C}-8-\mathrm{H}$ is consistent only with a pseudo-equatorial configuration for $\mathrm{C}-7-\mathrm{H} \beta$. The magnitude of the chemical shift ( $\delta$ 3.28) assigned to the C-6-H provides further evidence for a pseudo-axial configuration, as the pseudo-axial C-f-Ha ( $\delta$ 3.50) in isoneopine resonates at higher field than the pseudo-equatorial C-6-HB ( $\delta 4.23$ ) of neopine ${ }^{(5)}$. The presence of an hydroxyl group at c-7 in kreysiginine was confirmed by observing a 1.17 p.p.m. "acylation shift" of the $C-7-H \beta$ quartet in the spectrum of 0 -acetyl kreysiginine.

The C-9 proton signal is obscured in the spectrum of kreysiginine by the c-6 methoxyl signal, but in the spectrum of 0-acetyl kreysiginine, the C-9 proton signal is observed as a

$100 \mathrm{Mc} . / \mathrm{sec}$. n.m.r. spectrum of kreysiginine in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. Insets show spin-spin decoupled spectra resulting from irradiation at (A) $\delta 5.70$ doublet, (B) $\delta 6.46$ doublet, (C) $\delta 4.28$ quartet, and (D) $\delta 3.28$ quartet.
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quartet at $\delta 3.53$ ( $\left.J_{9,10 \alpha} 1.5 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec} .,_{9,10 \beta} 6.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}.\right)$. Double irraciation at the $\mathrm{C}-9$ quartet simplifies a complex multiplet at $\delta 1.62$ which is the only other signal than can be assigned by first-order inspection of the spectrum. This complex multiplet can be assigned to the $C-100$ proton, and is broadened by two large and two small couplings. Douple irradiation at this multiplet removed the $\mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{C}-10 \alpha$ coupling, J $1.5 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec} . \varnothing_{9,10 \mathrm{u}} 8^{85^{\circ}}$, from the $\mathrm{C}-9$ quartet which collapses to a doublet ( $J 6.0 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$ ), as well as collapsing other regions of the spectrum, which allows the assignment of approximate chemical shifts to the $\mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{p}$ proton ( $\delta 2.55$ ) and to the $C-11$ protons at $\delta 2.62$ and $\delta 3.13$. The pseudo axial $C-10 \alpha$ proton resonates at higher field than the pseudo equatorial $C-10 \beta$ proton, and by analogy it can be argued that the $C-11$ proton signal at $\delta 2.62$ can be assigned to the pseudo axial $C-11 \beta$ proton, and the $\delta 3.13$ signal to the pseudo equatorial $c-11 \alpha$ proton. The complexity of the spectrum in these regions prevents the complete analysis of these multiplets.

The occurrence of alkaloids of both the homo-aporphine and homo-morphine types in Kreysigia multiflora is of considerable biosynthetic interest, as it has been shown that colchicine and N-formyl-N-desacetylcolchicine also occur in K. multiflora ${ }^{(6)}$.
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